



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/675,572	07/03/96	WOOLFORD	M 3616.20USC1

08/675,572 07/03/96 WOOLFORD
MERCHANT GOULD SMITH EDELL
WELTER AND SCHMIDT
3100 NORWEST CENTER
90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402

CSM1/1129

EXAMINER

TAYLOR, D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3506	4

DATE MAILED:

11/29/96

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY

Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____
 This action is FINAL.
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

Claim(s) 1 & 30 - 49 is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 Claim(s) 1 & 30 - 49 is/are rejected.
 Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
 The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
 The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.
 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
 All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
received.
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____
 received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received: _____

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Attachment(s)

Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892
 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____
 Interview Summary, PTO-413
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES -

Serial Number: 08/675,572

-2-

Art Unit: 3506

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

2. Claims 1 and 30-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Mazzarell (U.S. 4,565,043) in view of Gravier (U.S. 4,909,010). With respect to claims 1 and 30, to have arranged the flange 28 of Mazzarell so as to extend across the entire back surface of the block 18, as taught by Gravier for flange 17a, would have been an obvious expedient to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by Gravier, that it is desirable to arrange a flange across the entire back surface of a block because the flange would be stronger and less likely to be broken. Also, the particular

Serial Number: 08/675,572

-3-

Art Unit: 3506

shape of the side faces is considered to be a matter of design choice which would be obvious to one skilled in the art and not a patentable distinction because there is nothing recited in the claims that gives any significance to the shape of the side surfaces. Claims 31-49 are directed to the particular shape of the block and therefore, are not considered to constitute patentable distinctions because various design shapes would be obvious to one skilled in the art. Moreover, as set forth above for claims 1 and 30, the particular shape of the various surfaces of the block are considered to be a matter of design choice which would be obvious to one skilled in the art and not a patentable distinction because there is nothing recited in the claims that gives any significance to the shape of the surfaces.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Taylor whose **telephone number is (703) 308-1013**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tamara Graysay, can be reached on (703) 308-2144. The **fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597 or 305-3598**.

Serial Number: 08/675,572

-4-

Art Unit: 3506

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Dennis L. Taylor
DENNIS L. TAYLOR
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3506

November 25, 1996.
(6) 675572.1st